

End to end!

Michael Bernstein
Stanford University

<http://crowdresearch.stanford.edu>

Today's topics

- Scoping the CHI paper: 5-paragraph intros
 - Prototype tasks
 - Reputation
 - Open gov
- Platform progress
- Design
- Open Gov
- UIST camera ready
- Being Awesome Collaborators

Go us! Go UIST!

Congratulations!

Visitor!

Anand Kulkarni, LeadGenius

Y Combinator alumnus

Crowdsourcing startup funded by Andreessen Horowitz

Platform updates

CHI outlines

Why we do this

- Writing an introduction is like creating a contract with yourself, with me, and with the reader about what is important to this research.
- If it's not in the intro, it's not something we should be prioritizing between now and CHI.

Basic outline for an intro

- Problem
 - Exactly the problem that you will be solving. Don't go too broad. Motivate why it's critical that we fix it, and why it's hard to fix.
- Insight
 - What's the high level idea in this paper? This is the "aha" moment for the reader. Don't get bogged down in details.
- System
 - How does it actually work? How do you map that high level concept down onto a concrete artifact?

Basic outline for an intro

- Evaluation
 - How do we know if your Insight is right?
- Impact
 - What world will we live in if your Insight is right?

Design

UIST camera ready

The plan!

- Focus efforts on CHI, not this poster :)

Coming to UIST

- I can fund one person's flight, lodging (shared with a roommate from another school), and registration to UIST
- We'll work our way down the author list until someone can go

Being an awesome
collaborator

Diagnosis: lack of awareness

- We have had a few instances where different teams had uneven understandings of whether something had a mandate to move forward or to change direction
- Goal: make sure our mental models are all aligned

Design: decision awareness

- Goal: better awareness of pending decisions and efforts
- Our method:
 - DRIs, when you are making a decision that others need to know about or will impact them, post it to #announcements.
 - Host any discussion or debate on the decision in #announcements-discuss. (Keep #announcements clean, please.) If there's significant disagreement in #announcements-discuss, we may undo the decision.
 - Proposed period for discussion: 24hrs. (e.g., if you come in a week later with a comment, it's probably too late.)

Examples

- #announcements We are going with this logo: [image]
- #announcements This is the proposed master page design, feedback open for 24 hours [image] (cc @relevantOtherDRI)
- #announcements This is the final page design, then @otherperson will begin implementing it [image]
- #announcements We are refactoring the .foobar SCSS class
- #announcements We are changing the opengov model to the following hybrid: [link]

Process, not product, not people

- If you don't share your feedback in a timely manner, it's fair for the DRI to go on despite your later objections. Bias to action!
- If a DRI does not post the item for feedback, but it is sufficiently important and divisive, it's fair that we ask the DRI to undo (which may mean wasted work).
- Don't argue the person. Argue the process.

**This week's
milestones**