UIST internal deadline T-minus one week

MICHAEL BERNSTEIN
UIST is coming

Let's do this!
A note on credit and authorship
Reminder: how credit works

- We’ll give people 100 credits to allocate across the contributors to the paper.
  - We let you summarize your contributions on the wiki before this credit vote happens
  - Give proportional credit to whoever you think is most critical to our success
  - We will then run PageRank on this credit vote network to prevent small groups from accidentally influencing the vote
PageRank and link rings

- You cannot get credit by having your team all just vote for you

Lots of PageRank flows from NYTimes to legit, but bad get almost none
Themes

• Task authorship
• Task ranking
• Open gov
Task Authorship: Progress

- Third Pilot study
- Goal: Measure the variance in quality with task difficulty
- MTurk Task: Write customer review of iPod based on a rubric
- Progress: Volunteers in the crowd cohort reviewed the ratings
Task Ranking: Progress

• Tasks imported to Daemo and completion times were estimated

• Pilot 1 running, data yet to be analyzed

• Finish worker study and analysis

• Implement: feed study for requesters and Pilot

• Start writing: Related Work and System

• Figures for the paper
Open Gov: Progress

- Google Doc: Guild Scenarios & How Guilds work
  - Experienced workers
  - New workers
  - Requesters
- TurkerNation worker feedback
- Guild designs
Worker Feedback

Does this mechanism seem fair to you?
Somewhat, although very few relevant reviews stated the contrary, i.e. not many people said it was 'not fair'.

Does this mechanism seem fair to requesters?
Nobody seems to care about this in our feedback. So... that's nice.

Are you likely to choose to do reviews if they cost approximately a fare hourly wage for your experience level?
Yes, and many seem to think it needs to be double blind and paid, NOT free. This is good because that was how we designed it.

Would you feel this was more fair if we asked you to review for the good of the system, or if there was a standardized rate to review tasks?
No to 'good of the system'
Inconclusive on 'standardized rate'

Are there things about this you think will not work?
Many mention cheaters which is a good point, though not one we have ignored.
Guild Designs
Login Screen
Dashboard View - Level 4 worker

Donald is now promoted as a level 3 worker. Say Congratulations.

Alan has endorsed Harry for successfully completing task N45: View this task | Say Congratulations.
Task Feed View - Level 4 worker
Task review View - Level 4 worker
Dashboard - New worker
Task Rejection - New worker
My Tasks - New worker
Requester: Creating a New Project
Requester: Creating a task Step 1 of 3

- Create Prototype task requirement
  - How This helps?
    - A prediction in how long a task takes to do
    - Help you find issues in a task design

- Create Actual task
  - No Prototype
  - Just get the task done by Workers

START

START
Requester: Creating a task Step 2 of 3
Requester: Creating a task Step 3 of 3
Requester: My Projects View
Experienced Worker

Dilrukshi Gamage

Worker level 5

Financial Accounts

Earnings

Deposits

$0.00

This should change dynamically

@dilrukshi
As an experienced worker, need to review others' work.

Experience worker can reject low pay tasks. Publish for level.

Contain Level 5, 4, 3 works. It is up to the worker to go for low pay jobs.
Task Review: Experienced Worker

Task #197 Write detailed description for each image
Time Left:
Instructions
You must be a native English speaker (preferable) or

Image

This image contain contemporary art and a design done by an experienced artists.

Rate this task

Comments

@dilrukshi
Author a Task: Requester

How many workers should attempt a task

How many total tasks you have

How much per task?

Pick a group to publish your tasks

Basic 0.8 $ per task
Intermediate 0.10 $ per task
Expert 0.14 per task